Document Log Item

Addressing				
From		То	То	
"Steven Costa" <glatzeldacosta@suddenlink.net></glatzeldacosta@suddenlink.net>		Sara Greiner/R9/USEPA/US@EPA		
сс		ВСС		
Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US@EPA "Edna Buchan" <ebuchan2@yahoo.com> "Peter Peshut" <pjp617@uow.edu.au> Robyn Stuber/R9/USEPA/US@EPA</pjp617@uow.edu.au></ebuchan2@yahoo.com>				
Description			Form Used: Memo	
Subject		Date/Time		
Re: Summary of Production Numbers		10/30/2007 10:02 AM		
# of Attachments	Total Bytes	NPM	Contributor	
0	10,508		Marcela VonVacano	
Processing				
Comments				

Body

Document Body

Sara,

I believe it is $\ensuremath{\mathsf{my}}$ sloppy presentation that has confused you - and $\ensuremath{\mathsf{me}}\xspace.$

Going back to the original records, the canneries both record daily

production add it up for a monthly total number and then divided by the $\,$

number of production days to derive the production numbers, which $\mbox{\tt I}$ have

been providing you. They do have daily (single day) numbers recorded and I

have not examined those closely or tabulated them. I can if needed. The

canneries numbers (600 and 450) are based on the maximum daily average over

a month. I suppose individual days may vary depending on number of shifts

worked, productivity of the workers, and lines in operation. I do not

believe there is a facilities plan that would indicate that, these are

numbers they have given me. I can ask for clarification if necessary.

Sorry for the confusion and my not picking up on our different uses of

terminology - I should know better, given it is the same issue we have with

flow terminology all the time. We can go through the records and find the

maximum single day production if that will help.

Again, my fault for not being precise and, frankly, being much more

concerned with other issues to the point I was not paying attention.

Steve

---- Original Message ----

From: <Greiner.Sara@epamail.epa.gov>

To: "Steven Costa" <glatzeldacosta@suddenlink.net>

Cc: <goldstein.carl@epamail.epa.gov>; "Edna Buchan"

<ebuchan2@yahoo.com>;

<pjp617@uow.edu.au>

Sent: Tuesday, October 30, 2007 9:15 AM

```
>I did notice before from the application that StarKist operated
at least
> a couple of time, and now from your graph more than that, above
the 600
> tons production capacity. From your 10/25 email, you indicated
> these were production capacity, i.e., the maximum amount of
fish the
> facility is able to process per day. So what are the 600 and
> values? monthly averages? That is not what is stated in the
10/25 email.
> So, yes, I am confused. Can you forward me a facility plan or
> that indicates the real production capacity of the plant?
> Sara N. Greiner
> U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
> Clean Water Act Standards and Permits Office
> 75 Hawthorne Street, WTR-5
> San Francisco, California 94105
> Telephone: 415-972-3042
> Fax: 415-947-3545
> "Steven Costa"
> <qlatzeldacosta@
> suddenlink.net> To
> Sara Greiner/R9/USEPA/US@EPA
> 10/30/2007 07:46 cc
```

> AM Robyn Stuber/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,

```
> Carl Goldstein/R9/USEPA/US@EPA,
> "Edna Buchan"
> Please respond <ebuchan2@yahoo.com>, "Peter
> to Peshut" <pjp617@uow.edu.au>,
> "Steven Costa" "Karen Glatzel"
> <glatzeldacosta@ <kargatgdc@suddenlink.net>
> suddenlink.net> Subject
> Summary of Production Numbers
>
>
>
>
>
>
>
> Sara,
> See the attached documents
> I hope I have not been the source of confusion. Just to verify
> production numbers I have given you are monthly averages of
tons/day.
> That
> is, average daily production for each month - not maximum daily
> productionon
> for a single particular day.
> The cannerys track this by recoding daioly production and then
dividing
> the number of production days in the month. Shutdown days where
nothing
> is
> produced are not averaged into the numbers os zero production.
                              Page 4 of 5
```

```
> Hope this helps, let me know if I can provide anything else.
> Steve
> (See attached file: SamPac Production Summary.doc)(See attached file:
> StarKist Production Summary.doc)
```